

Scientific Paper:

Circulation 107, 2146-2152, 2003

## Differences in the Biogenergetic Response of the Isolated Perfused Rat Heart to Selective $\beta_{1-}$ and $\beta_{2-}$ Adrenergic Receptor Stimulation

Patrick McConville, PhD; Kenneth W. Fishbein, PhD; Edward G. Lakatta, MD; Richard G.S. Spencer, PhD, MD

## Abstract:

**Background**—In the heart, striking functional differences exist after stimulation of the  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$ . adrenergic receptor (AR) subtypes. These may be linked to differences in metabolic response during  $\beta_1$ . and  $\beta_2$ . AR stimulation.

**Methods and Results**—The relation between work and metabolism was examined during selective  $\beta_1$ . and  $\beta_2$ .AR stimulation ( $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  groups, respectively) in the isolated perfused rat heart. Measurements were made of rate-pressure product (RPP = LV developed pressure x heart rate), phosphorus-containing metabolites, and pH by <sup>31</sup>P nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy and of  $0_2$  consumption by fiberoptic oximetry. Experiments were performed under high constant flow (HCF) and under flow-limiting conditions (constant pressure, CP). Despite substantially greater RPP increases relative to baseline during  $\beta_1$ .AR (HCF, 475%; CP, 150%) than  $\beta_2$ .AR (HCF, 90%; CP, 72%) stimulation, the relative decrease in the intracellular energy charge relative to baseline was similar for the  $\beta_1$  (HCF, 49%; CP, 64%) and  $\beta_2$ (HCF, 59%; CP, 55%) groups. For each group, an increase in oxygen consumption (MVo<sub>2</sub>) occurred commensurate with workload during HCF ( $\beta_1$ , 141%;  $\beta_2$ , 30%). During CP, however, the MVo<sub>2</sub> increase was similar ( $\beta_1$ , 39%;  $\beta_2$ , 34%), despite the large RPP difference between the groups. During both protocols, there was greater acidosis during  $\beta_1$ .AR than during  $\beta_2$ .AR stimulation. Thus, at a given workload, intracellular energy charge decreased, and MVo<sub>2</sub> (CP) increased to a greater extent during  $\beta_2$  than  $\beta_1$ .AR stimulation.

**Conclusions**—The bioenergetic differences are consistent with access to an additional substrate pool during  $\beta_1$ .AR stimulation. This may occur via increased glycogenolysis during  $\beta_1$ .AR stimulation, facilitating increased energy production by oxidative phosphorylation, and under flow-limiting conditions, anaerobic glycolysis.

Key-words: Receptors, adrenergic, beta, metabolism, oxygen, imaging